

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,554	12/14/2001	Scott Hamilton	52493.000204	6014
21967	7590 06/04/2003			
HUNTON & WILLIAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LAU, TUNG S	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-1109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/014,554	HAMILTON ET AL.	•			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tung S Lau	2863				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	<u> Pecember 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	_x parte Quayle, 1955 O.D. 11, 4	.00 0.0. 215.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4,11 and 23-40 is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
ר 🗀 (10	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
,	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment	c(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/014,554

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 1, 7, 20, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fodor (U.S. Patent Application 2001/0032245) in view of Gainey et al. (U.S. Patent Application 2002/0099681).

Regarding claim 1:

Fodor discloses in a document delivery system which includes a document system for producing document data, system for determining whether a requested document is designated for electronic delivery or non-electronic delivery based on a stored document delivery designation (page 1, section 0009-0010), and a failed email management system which is configured to receive a notice of a failed email delivery and to change a document delivery designation for at least the intended recipient of the failed email, a method of monitoring the electronic delivery of documents (abstract), said method comprising the steps of: creating log files for storing selected data related to selected electronic document preparation and delivery events (page 1, section 009-0010); forwarding said log

Art Unit: 2863

files to a parsing engine (page 2, section 0024-025); parsing said log files to retrieve selected data; and storing the retrieved selected data for retrieval and analysis (page 3-4, section 0033).

Regarding claim 7:

Fodor discloses a system for monitoring the electronic delivery of documents, said system comprising a document system, said document system producing document information for printing documents (abstract); wherein said system management system determines whether a requested document is designated for electronic delivery or non-electronic delivery; a recipient database (fig. 1), wherein said recipient database stores recipient information (fig. 2, unit 100), 102); wherein system is configured to receive documents designated for non-electronic delivery (fig. 2, unit 102); an email system (fig. 1, 2), said email system configured to accept and process document data for creating and delivering documents electronically (fig. 2, unit 100, 102, 104); a failed email management system (fig. 2, unit 110, 142), wherein said failed email management system is configured to receive a notice of a failed email delivery including a failed email address (page 3-4, section 0033), and to change a document delivery designation for at least the intended recipient of the failed email (page 3-4, section 0033); and an electronic monitoring system for creating and storing log files containing data related to selected document delivery events (page 3-4, section 0033-0035).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 20:

Fodor discloses a method for electronically delivering documents, said method comprising the steps of producing document data; accepting requests to send selected documents (abstract); determining whether a selected document is accepted for electronic delivery or for non-electronic delivery (fig. 2, unit 114, 110); documents accepted for non-electronic delivery; storing documents and document data for documents accepted for electronic delivery (page 1, section 0009-0010); providing Internet access to the documents and document data accepted for electronic delivery (fig. 1); providing notice regarding the status and availability of said stored documents and document data to intended document recipients (page 2-3, section 0024); detecting the failed delivery of notices to intended notice recipients (page 3-4, section 0033); and creating log files containing system data related to selected electronic document preparation events (page 3-4, section 0033), parsing said log files to retrieve system data; and storing the retrieved system data for later retrieval and analysis)page 4, section 0034-0035).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22:

Fodor discloses the log files is stored in the restricted database (fig. 1, unit 19), the log files is created within the email system (fig. 2, unit 124), include the identification of the email notification client (page 3-4, section 0033), included

Application/Control Number: 10/014,554 Page 5

Art Unit: 2863

internet server (abstract), the electronic monitoring system further comprises a parsing engine which parses the log files into retrievable data which is then stored for later retrieval and analysis (page 3-4, section 0033). The log files is created within the recipient database, internet server (page 3-4, section 0033, fig. 1, unit 19), one document deliver option (fig. 1, unit 10, 13, 19).

Fodor does not disclose a use of a print management system, a non electronically delivery, Gainey discloses a use of a print management system, a non electronically delivery (page 4, section 0055), in order to send a recipients a hardcopy of the system response (page 1, section 0006).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fodor to have the use of a print management system taught by Gainey in order to send a recipients a hardcopy of the system response (page 1, section 0006).

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 11 and 23-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2863

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the system of wherein the data stored includes at least: the file names of documents printed, the number of documents printed or the date and time the documents are printed, a preferred document option is stored for each document recipient, the combination of multiple electronic notices for delivering a single recipient at one time, multiple recipients are changed together based upon common identifying criteria.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,554

Art Unit: 2863

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703)

872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

 TL

May 28, 2003

John Barlow

Page 7

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800